

Ref	Respondent	Comments	Appraisal	Response
S1	Paulerspury Village Hall Committee	Letter requesting clarification needed as to whether fundraising classed as gambling.	Comments noted and letter sent	No policy change
S2	Local Safeguarding Children Board	Request for different telephone number to be included in final policy statement.	Comment noted	Policy changed to include new telephone number
S3	Yardley Gobion Parish Council	Letter stating "Councillors are not in favour of increasing the current level of facilities, but do support small scale gambling when the stated purpose is raising funds for charitable enterprises."	Comments noted	
S4	Eydon Parish Council	Letter stating "With regard to Appendix D to the document, the Parish Council strongly recommends the District Council passes a 'no casino' resolution. This decision was arrived at during a meeting of the Parish Council on 8 August 2006 and upon the basis that such development would be totally inappropriate for the rural area of South Northamptonshire."	Comments noted	
S5 N1	British Beer and Pub Association	Letter stating "Grant of additional permits. ...Under the new regime, when operators apply for additional machine permits and they are complying with the Gambling Commission Code of Practice (which will of course replace the BBPA Code), there is no reason why these should not be granted. It would be helpful if the Statement of Principles could reflect this."	Comments noted	
S6 N1	British Beer and Pub Association	Letter stating "Application procedures for more than two machines....The Association would welcome the inclusion in the policy of an outline of the application procedures for permits for more than two machines. We understand that some Councils are taking the view that they will grant up to four machine permits without the need for a hearing. We support such an approach in the interests of reduced administration and bureaucracy for both Councils and applicants and would encourage you to consider this possibility."	Comments noted	
S7 N1	British Beer and Pub Association	Letter stating "Both the BBPA and LACORS have been concerned about the lack of a generic application form for permits.....It is obviously in the interests of businesses and local authorities to have such a form. We fully support this approach and hope you will adopt the LACORS form once produced."	Comments noted	

Ref	Respondent	Comments	Appraisal	Response
E1 N2	Gosschalks – Association of British bookmakers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Letter asked for policy to specifically state door supervisors would not be requested on at all bookmakers as a blanket condition and each case would be looked at on its merits</li> <li>• Letter asked for individual businesses to be considered on their merits with respect to the number of betting machines allowed in each premises</li> <li>• Letter asked for local authority to positively welcome re-sites of premises and extensions</li> <li>• Letter asked that the wording of the enforcement section be changed to reflect a single individual would be contacted for all matters at bookmakers</li> </ul>	<p>Already included within policy</p> <p>Already included within policy Planning issue</p> <p>Policy will not be changed, this is more a protocol issue</p>	
E2	Collyweston Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggest a rigid policy should be applied and cases should not be considered on their merits</li> <li>• No enforcement details in policy</li> <li>• Phrase reasonably consistent in unacceptable</li> <li>• Licensing objectives not included in document</li> <li>• Explanation required to being used to support crime</li> <li>• Ask for door supervisors conditions to be laid down and not relate to perceived need</li> <li>• Asked to justify why allowing ATMs on Gambling premises</li> </ul>	<p>Law requires this approach – policy will not be changed Subject to a separate document Taken from guidance policy will not be changed</p> <p>Included on page 2 Feel that this is clear any crime as specified by the law being supported by gambling.</p> <p>Each application has to be considered individually.</p> <p>Based on guidance</p>	
E3	Gamcare	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pointed out that Licensing authorities have a duty of care and become corporate parents to assist residents of their authority with gambling addictions. Consideration should be given to set up programs to deal with these issues.</li> </ul>	Comments noted will consider in future.	
E4 N3	British Casino Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reminded LA's that they are exempt from SIA requirements</li> </ul>	Acknowledged in legislation	